

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alesandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspao.gov

| | | · | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
| APPLICATION NO. | 07/28/2003 | Dirk Aderhold | JMYT-226US2 | 3561 |
| 10/628,543 | 01128/2003 | 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | EXAMINER | |
| 23122 | 7590 09/26/2005 | | HENDRICKSON, STUART L | |
| RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980 | | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | ART UNIT | TALLECTOR |
| | | | | ne. |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005 | |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | <u> </u> |
|---|---|---|-----------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| , | 10/628,543 | ADERHOLD ET | AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| · | Stuart Hendrickson | 1754 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicat | ion appears on the cover she | et with the correspondence a | address – |
| eriod for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ING DATE OF THIS COMINI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6 | ONICATION. nay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| | on . | | • |
| 2h) | ☐ This action is non-final. | | |
| Zaj Trillo dottori to that the condition for | allowance except for formal | matters, prosecution as to t | the merits is |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice | under Ex parte Quayle, 193 | 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | • |
| • | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-34</u> is/are pending in the ap | plication. | n | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are | withdrawn from consideratio | 1 1. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,12,18-28,33 and 34</u> is/are | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>13-17 and 29-32</u> is/are object | ed to. | nt | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | n and/or election requirement | n. | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the I | Examiner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a | a)[☐ accepted or b)[☐ object | ed to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | on to the drawing(s) be held in a | abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a |). |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | ne correction is required if the di | rawing(s) is objected to. See 3 <i>i</i> | 7 CFR 1.121(a). |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be | by the Examiner. Note the at | tached Office Action or form | PTO-152. |
| | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | - familian princips updor 25 11 | S C & 119(a)-(d) or (f) | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | or foreign priority under 35 O. | 3.C. 9 119(a)-(d) or (i). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | od. | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority d | ocuments have been receive | od in Application No | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority d | ocuments have been receive | boon received in this Natic | nnal Stage |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of | the priority documents have | i) Deell lecelved III IIII 14alio | a. 0.55 |
| application from the Internation | al Bureau (PC) Rule 17.2(a) | n. es not received | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action | ior a list of the certified copi | 55 1151 100011 04. | |
| | • | | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | · · | (DTO 442) | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | · —· • | terview Summary (PTO-413) sper No(s)/Mail Date | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F | PTO/SB/08) 5) \(\bigcup \ \text{No.} | otice of Informal Patent Application | (PTO-152) |
| 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 of F | 6) O | ther: | • |

Application/Control Number: 10/628,543

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 12, 18, 19, 20, 22, 25, 33, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Piez et al. 5246457.

The reference teaches in fig. 1 and column 6 gel-coating a 'block' by application of a vacuum.

Claims 21, 23, 24, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piez. The reference does not teach the specific techniques of the process, however the examiner takes Official Notice that these are old and known. Using them in the Piez process is an obvious expedient to automate or make the process efficient. Concerning claim 28, this is deemed an obvious expedient to assure complete coating/contact.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754